Correlation of Structure and Function in the Human Hotdog-fold Enzyme hTHEM4

Hong Zhao,[†] Kap Lim,[‡] Anthony Choudry,[§] John A. Latham,[†] Manish C. Pathak,[‡] Dennis Dominguez,[†] Lusong Luo,*[,§,⊥] Osnat Herzberg,*[,‡,¶] and Debra Dunaway-Mariano*[,†]

[†]Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131, United States

[‡]Center for Advanced Research in Biotechnology and Institute for Bioscience and Biotechnology, University of Maryland, Rockville, Maryland 20850, United States

[§]Department of Enzymology and Mechanistic Pharmacology, Oncology CEDD, GlaxoSmithkline, Collegeville, Pennsylvania 19426, United States

[¶]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, United States

S *Supporting Information*

ABSTRACT: Human THEM4 (hTHEM4) is comprised of a catalytically active hotdog-fold acyl-CoA thioesterase domain and an N-terminal domain of unknown fold and function. hTHEM4 has been linked to Akt1 regulation and cell apoptosis. Herein, we report the X-ray structure of hHTEM4 bound with undecan-2-one-CoA. Structure guided mutagenesis was carried out to confirm the catalytic residues. The N-terminal domain is shown to be partially comprised of irregular and flexible secondary structure, reminiscent of a protein-binding domain. We demonstrate direct hTHEM4-Akt1 binding by immuno-precipitation and by inhibition of Akt1 kinase activity, thus providing independent evidence that hTHEM4 is an Akt1 negative regulator.

Figure 1. The hTHEM4 dimer with one molecule of undecan-2-one-CoA (UNC) bound to each subunit (A blue and B yellow; N-domain darker shade) and with a third partially disordered molecule of UNC bound to subunit B (UNC tail).

The human protein hTHEM4, also known as the carboxyl-terminal modulator protein (CTMP),[1] is a two-domain protein made up of 240 amino acids (Figure 1). Epigenetic down-regulation of hTHEM4 transcription is a common aberration in glioblastomas.[2,3] The earliest studies of hTHEM4 function showed that it interacts with membrane bound Akt1 blocking its activation by upstream protein kinases.[1] Activated Akt1 is known to protect the cell from apoptosis. More recent work has painted a complex picture of the mechanism by which hTHEM4 functions to sensitize the cell to apoptosis. First, an N-terminal mitochondrial location sequence (MLS) was found to direct the precursor hTHEM4 to the mitochondrial inner membrane space where it associates with the integral inner membrane protein known as the leucine zipper/EF-hand-containing trans membrane-1 protein.[4] The mature hTHEM4 (MLS removed) is contained in the mitochondrial inner membrane space, and upon induction of apoptosis it is released to the cytosol.[5] Additional studies showed that phosphorylation of hTHEM4 at the mitochondrial localization signal Ser37/Ser38 blocks mitochondrial local-ization. In the cytoplasm, hTHEM4 reportedly associates with the heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70), thus preventing the formation of complexes between Hsp70 and the apoptotic protease activating factor I.[6]

Recently we demonstrated that the hTHEM4 is a high efficiency, broad specificity acyl-CoA thioesterase.[7] The C-terminal domain (ca. 100 amino acids) conforms to a predicted hotdog-fold thioesterase subunit. The N-terminal domain is predicted to be ordered; however, it does not have a known structural homologue. To better understand the structural basis for hTHEM4 function, it was subjected to X-ray crystallo-graphic analysis. The MLS (residues 1−39) was removed by genetic engineering prior to cocrystallization with the inert substrate analogue undecan-2-one-CoA, which is a tight binding competitive inhibitor of hTHEM4-catalyzed decano-yl-CoA hydrolysis ($K_i = 0.8 \pm 0.1$ μM; Figure SI1, Supporting Information (SI). The structure of the His$_6$-tagged[7] ($\Delta 1-39$)hTHEM4(undecan-2-one-CoA) complex was solved to 2.3 Å (experimental plus summary of X-ray data collection and structure refinement is provided in SI) and is shown in Figure 1. The homodimer quaternary structure observed in the crystal

structure was confirmed by native molecular mass determination of the protein in solution (via HPLC-SEC-LS-RI analysis, Table SI2).

The model of subunit A contains amino acid residues 43−81 and 106−238, whereas the residues 82−105 are structurally disordered. The subunit B model is better defined and contains amino acid residues 43−98 and 106−244. The C-terminal hotdog-fold catalytic unit consists of two α-helices (α3), one from each subunit, oriented antiparallel to one another and packed against a continuous antiparallel β-sheet generated by the association of the two monomers (Figure 1). In addition, residues 106−120, which are outside the hotdog-fold core, pack above the α3 helices and contribute to the dimer interface via a cluster of phenylalanine residues (Figure SI2). Two undecan-2-one-CoA molecules are positioned at opposite ends of the dimer, where the substrate binding sites are formed at the subunit interface (Figure 2). The adenine, ribose, and


Figure 2. The hTHEM4 active site bound with undecan-2-one-CoA. The mesh defines the initial difference Fourier electron density with the coefficients $F_o − F_c$ and calculated phases prior to adding the ligand to the model. The map is contoured to 2.5 σ.

phosphate groups of the inhibitor are perched on the protein surface at a region that defines the entrance to the active site. Ion pairs are formed between Arg206 and Lys207 and the phosphate groups, and a hydrogen bond is formed between Asn183 and the C(6)NH₂ of the adenine ring; however, the strength of these interactions is likely to be minimized by the polar solvent. Indeed, Ala replacement of these residues had a minimal impact on the catalytic efficiency. The k_{cat}/K_m measured for catalyzed myristoyl-CoA hydrolysis is reduced 5-fold and 3-fold, respectively, for the mutants R206A and K207A, but the N183A mutant is fully active (Table 1). The pantothenate unit threads through a narrow, largely hydrophobic tunnel that leads to the catalytic site (Figure 2). CoA displays only a modest binding affinity ($K_i = 81 ± 1\ \mu M$) (Figure SI3), and the $C_6−C_{12}$ carboxylic acid products display very weak binding affinity ($K_i > 1$ mM), which indicates that hTHEM4 thioesterase activity is not regulated by product inhibition.

The hTHEM4 catalytic motif conforms to that of the AB clade of the hotdog-fold thioesterase family.[8] Specifically, the catalytic carboxylate residue (Asp161; serves as nucleophile or base) is located on the central α-helix of one subunit, whereas the thioester C=O polarizing residue (Gly153; α-helix N-

Table 1. Steady-State Kinetic Constants of Wild-Type and Mutant hHTEM4-Catalyzed Hydrolysis of Myristoyl-CoA at pH 7.5 and 25 °C[a]

hTHEM4	$k_{cat}\ (s^{-1})$	$K_m\ (\mu M)$	$k_{cat}/K_m\ (M^{-1}\ s^{-1})$
wild-type	4.2 ± 0.1	2.4 ± 0.1	1.7×10^6
D161E	0.1 ± 0.01	45 ± 3	2.3×10^3
D161N	0.07 ± 0.01	300 ± 100	2.0×10^2
T177A	0.07 ± 0.01	4.0 ± 0.1	1.8×10^4
H152F	0.03 ± 0.01	1.1 ± 0.1	2.7×10^4
H152A	0.04 ± 0.01	62 ± 4	6.7×10^2
R206A	1.5 ± 0.1	4.4 ± 0.6	3.6×10^5
K207A	1.5 ± 0.1	2.8 ± 0.1	6.7×10^5
N183A	5.0 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.1	1.2×10^2

[a]See SI for details.

terminus backbone amide NH) is located on the opposing subunit (Figure 2). The side chains of Thr177 and His152 also contribute to the active site. The Asp161 was replaced with Glu and with Asn to probe its role in catalysis. The hTHEM4 D161E and D161N mutants display k_{cat} values that are 42-fold and 62-fold smaller than that of the wild-type enzyme (Table 1). Asn161 can position a water nucleophile but not function in nucleophilic catalysis. Thus, the relatively small reduction in the k_{cat} of the D161N mutant is more consistent with Asp161 functioning in base catalysis than in nucleophilic catalysis. On the basis of its juxtaposition with the Asp161, the Thr177 is a likely partner in the positioning and activation of the water nucleophile, as has been reported for the hTHEM2 counterparts.[8] The hTHEM4 T177A mutant k_{cat} value is reduced 60-fold. The His152 is located on the opposite side of the active site and is packed against the inhibitor. His152 might assist in the positioning of the substrate as suggested by the hTHEM4 H152A and H152F mutants, which showed 100-fold and 140-fold reductions in k_{cat}. Gly153, Asp161, Thr77, and H152 are conserved among eukaryote THEM4s (Figure SI4).

The N-terminal domains of the dimer are juxtaposed in a manner that covers the α3-presenting face of the hotdog-fold (Figure 1). Both N-terminal domains are partially disordered: 82−105 in subunit A and 99−105 in subunit B. In subunit B, residues 83−95 form an amphiphatic α-helix (α2), which caps the hydrophobic channel of the ligand binding site. The nonpolar face of α2 shields the hydrocarbon tail of the bound inhibitor. Interestingly, the hydrocarbon tail of a second inhibitor ligand is recruited to the site where it is desolvated by α3, α2 and the extended hydrocarbon tail of inhibitor 1 (Figure 1 and Figure SI5). Subunit A binds just one inhibitor molecule and its hydrocarbon tail assumes a curled conformation thereby minimizing contact with solvent. Notably, the region corresponding to the α2 in subunit B is disordered in subunit A. Residues 55−69 form the amphipathic helix α1, which packs against the β-sheet using its nonpolar face. Overall, the X-ray structure suggests that the N-terminal domain consists of an unusual amount of irregular secondary structure with regions of high flexibility. The tertiary structure appears to be organized along the surface of the hotdog-fold core. The observation that the removal of the N-terminal domain via genetic engineering greatly destabilizes the hotdog-fold domain[7] suggests that the two domains are not independent folding units.

Having defined the structure and catalytic activity of hTHEM4, we were positioned to explore additional functions that have been suggested by the cell biology studies (vide

supra). Accordingly, we focused our efforts on examining hTHEM4 mediated Akt1 regulation using an in vitro based approach. First, hTHEM4-Akt1 binding was tested by carrying out pull-down experiments using anti-Akt1 antibody immobilized agarose beads in conjunction with the recombinant Akt1 catalytic domain and His$_6$-tagged hTHEM4 (details provided in SI). As shown in Figure 3 both the His$_6$-hTHEM4 and


Figure 3. Western blots of the protein fraction eluted from Akt1 antibody-functionalized agarose beads incubated with 150 μg of Akt1 and 35 μg of hTHEM4-His$_6$ (Lane 4) or His$_6$-hTHEM4 (Lane 6). Lane 2 is the protein from the control in which Akt1 was omitted. Lanes 1, 3, and 5 contain PageRuler Plus Prestained Ladder. The top immunoblot was developed using anti-Akt1 antibody and the bottom using anti-hHTEM4 antibody.

hTHEM4-His$_6$ constructs were retained by the immobilized Akt1. The control experiment, in which Akt1 was not included, showed that hHTME4 is not retained by the beads alone. These findings demonstrate that Akt1 and hTHEM4 form a stable complex.

Finally, the potential impact of in vitro hTHEM4-Akt1 complex formation on catalytic function was examined by carrying out titration experiments. For this purpose catalytically active Akt1 was prepared.[9] The rate of catalyzed phosphorylation of the GSK3α peptide (10 μM) was measured at fixed Akt1 (1 nM) and ATP (50 μM) concentrations and varied His$_6$-hTHEM4 (0−6 μM) concentration. The data shown in Figure 4 were fitted to define a K_d = 0.7 ± 0.1 μM. The impact of complex formation on hTHEM4 catalytic activity was determined at fixed His$_6$-hTHEM4 (40 nM) and myristoyl-CoA (5 μM) concentrations and varied Akt1 concentration (0, 0.375, 0.75, 1.5, and 2.27 μM) in 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5, 25 °C). The rate of catalyzed thioester hydrolysis was not inhibited

but rather slightly increased (Figure 4). Therefore, Akt1 binding does not block the hTHEM4 active site.

In conclusion, we have defined the structure and catalytic residues of hTHEM4, a two-domain protein, known to catalyze fatty acyl-CoA thioester hydrolysis,[7] and to sensitize the cell to apoptosis through interaction with protein partners.[1,4−6] We have shown that hTHEM4 binding to the cell regulator Akt1 inhibits its kinase activity, which supports the data from in vivo studies. Future work is focused on mapping the protein−protein binding sites on hTHEM4 and Akt1.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

ⓢ Supporting Information
Detailed experimental protocol and tables and figures reporting experimental results are available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Accession Codes
PDB accession code: 4GAH.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author
*D.D.-M. (Tel: 1-505-277-3383, E-mail: dd39@unm.edu); O.H. (Tel: 240-314-6245, E-mail: osnat@unm.edu); L.L (E-mail: lusong.luo@beigene.com).

Present Address
⊥BeiGene Co., Beijing, China.

Notes
The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Maira, S. M., Galetic, I., Brazil, D. P., Kaech, S., Ingley, E., Thelen, M., and Hemmings, B. A. (2001) *Science* 294, 374−380.

(2) Knobbe, C. B., Reifenberger, J., Blaschke, B., and Reifenberger, G. (2004) *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 96, 483−486.

(3) Knobbe, C. B., Trampe-Kieslich, A., and Reifenberger, G. (2005) *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* 31, 486−490.

(4) Piao, L., Li, Y., Kim, S. J., Sohn, K. C., Yang, K. J., Park, K. A., Byun, H. S., Won, M., Hong, J., Hur, G. M., Seok, J. H., Shong, M., Sack, R., Brazil, D. P., Hemmings, B. A., and Park, J. (2009) *Cell Signal.* 21, 767−777.

(5) Parcellier, A., Tintignac, L. A., Zhuravleva, E., Cron, P., Schenk, S., Bozulic, L., and Hemmings, B. A. (2009) *Cell Signal.* 21, 639−650.

(6) Piao, L., Li, Y., Yang, K. J., Park, K. A., Byun, H. S., Won, M., Hong, J., Kim, J. L., Kweon, G. R., Hur, G. M., Seok, J. H., Cho, J. Y., Chun, T., Hess, D., Sack, R., Maira, S. M., Brazil, D. P., Hemmings, B. A., and Park, J. (2009) *BMC Cell Biol.* 10, 53.

(7) Zhao, H., Martin, B. M., Bisoffi, M., and Dunaway-Mariano, D. (2009) *Biochemistry* 48, 5507−5509.

(8) Cao, J., Xu, H., Zhao, H., Gong, W., and Dunaway-Mariano, D. (2009) *Biochemistry* 48 (6), 1293−1304.

(9) Rhodes, N., Heerding, D. A., Duckett, D. R., Eberwein, D. J., Knick, V. B., Lansing, T. J., McConnell, R. T., Gilmer, T. M., Zhang, S., Robell, K., Kahana, J. A., Geske, R. S., Kleymenova, E. V., Choudhry, A. E., Lai, Z., Leber, J. D., Minthorn, E. A., Strum, S. L., Wood, E. R., Huang, P. S., Copeland, R. A., and Kumar, R. (2008) *Cancer Res.* 68 (7), 2366−2374.

Figure 4. Plots of the % Akt1 kinase activity vs the concentration of hHTEM4 (○) and % hTHEM4 activity vs the concentration of Akt1 (■). The error bars represent the standard deviation of triplicate data points. See SI for details.